(*b*) when the Central Government intimated the State Government about this Scheme;

(*c*) while the benefit of pay scales of University Grants Commission has been implemented without any restrictions of qualification why the same should not be given effect in respect of All India Council of Technical Education Scales of Pay ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Nil.

(*b*) 29-7-1965.

(*c*) The two Schemes are Central Government Schemes and the conditions are laid down by Government of India. While the University Grants Commission pay scale is meant as a general revision of pay of college teachers the All India Council of Technical Education Scales are meant for attracting qualified teachers to the Engineering College and Polytechnics. It is not a general revision based on rank only. The State Government cannot change the rules.

Incorrect Replies to Questions

Sri K. H. PATIL (Hubli).—On a point of order. While Hon. Minister Sri Rajasekhara Murthy was replying in the House to a supplementary of Sri Sivappa, he was trying to hide the information. Sri Sivappa wanted to know since what date the Company has come into existence. The Hon. Minister narrated something else, In my opinion the question asked by the hon. Member should be replied to as relevantly as possible and no Minister should try to hide any information from the House. Therefore, I seek the protection of the Chair that in all such matters, the Minister should be directed to furnish all facts that are available on record and the Members should not be misled by giving information which is not wanted by the Member.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no point of order because when a question is put, it is for the Hon. Minister to reply. It is for the House to judge whether the reply is adequate or not, and the Chair has no authority under the rules to judge it.

Sri K. H. PATIL.— I am not speaking about adequacy.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am giving the ruling.

Sri K. H. PATIL.— At the time of giving the ruling, if the Speaker has not understood me, I have to correct him. My point is not about giving adequate reply. I say it should be a relevant reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already said that if anybody wants to give notice of half-an-hour discussion, I shall permit it. Then the hon. Member may get the necessary information from the Hon. Minister.

Allowing members to put more supplementaries during Question Hour.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಪ್ಪ (ಕೋಲಾರ).–ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ತಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಒಂದು ಅರಿಕೆ ಇದೆ:—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೂ ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ವ್ಯತ್ಯಾಸವನ್ನೂ ತೋರಿಸಬಾರದೆಂದಿದೆ. ಅನೇಕರು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳತಕ್ಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಕೂಡ್ರಿ, ನಿಮಗೆ ಇನ್ನೊಮ್ಮೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿ, ತಾವು ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಉಪಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಲು ಎರಡು ಮೂರು ಅವಕಾಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೂ ಈ ದಿವಸ ನಾನು ಭೂ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್‌ನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ಉಪಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಹಾಕಿದೆ, ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನೇ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವರನ್ನು ,'ಎ''ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿಯೂ ಕೆಲವರನ್ನು ''ಬಿ''ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿಯೂ ಮತ್ತು ಇನ್ನು ಕೆಲವರನ್ನು ''ಸಿ''ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿರುವಂತೆ ಭಾಸವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಯಾವ ತರಗತಿಗಳು ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಂಥ ಅನಾದರಣೆಯನ್ನೂ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಮಾಡಬಾರದು. ತಾವು ಎಲ್ಲರನ್ನೂ ಒಂದೇ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಗೌರವದಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am sorry. I have made it clear several times that I never make distinction between one member and another. I have the highest respect for every hon. Member. If I am not able to concede supplementaries adequately and equally to all the Members, it is only for want of time. I assure the hon. Member Sri Venkatagiriyappa, whether a member sits in the front line or back line, no distinction will be made.

Question of Privilege against Sri S. K. Patil

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ (ರಾಯಚೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಹಕ್ಕುಬಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ನೆನ್ನೆ ಡೆಕನ್ ಹೆರಾಲ್ಡ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಕೆ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಅವರ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have gone through that notice and I am applying my mind to it. I have got one thought in me and I wish to express it loudly. I am thinking whether the judgment of the highest court and High Court cannot be subject to criticism without attributing any motive to them or without casting reflection on them and whether a member has no right to make a *bona fide* criticism. On this point, if Hon. Member has got any authority, let him pass it on to me so that I shall go through it and give my ruling within a day or two.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—For that you have to see the intention.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have given my mind. I shall give a hearing.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—The Chair has just now explained what it has got in its mind and what it wants. I must explain.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I shall hear the hon. member in my Chamber. I shall call Sri D. B. Kalmankar also to my chamber in this connection.